EXAMINING THE POLITICAL GOVERNANCE IN INDIA: THE HIGHS AND LOWS OF THE COUNTRY

Dr V. Basil Hans
Research Professor, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka

ARTICLE HISTORY	Abstract
	India's political governance is distinguished by its distinctive combination of democratic principles, a federal structure, and a sociopolitical terrain that is not particularly straightforward. India's political system has seen substantial changes ever since the country gained its independence in 1947. These changes have reflected both the country's strengths and its challenges. In this article, the historical development of governance in India is investigated, with a particular emphasis on significant periods of reform and crisis, the function of institutions, and the influence of changes in socioeconomic conditions. Throughout its history, the system of governance has been characterized by both periods of stability, such as the consolidation of democracy in the 1950s and the liberalization reforms of the 1990s, and periods of turbulence, such as the Emergency (1975-1977) and more recent challenges, such as coalition politics and growing regionalism. The role of the bureaucracy, the judiciary, and the emergence of decentralized governance as a result of the strengthening of local bodies are all discussed in the article. Take a look at some of the most recent developments, including the influence of digital governance efforts, the function of civil society, and the growing polarization of political debate. At the end of the analysis, a reflection is made on the dynamic and frequently contradictory nature of India's political governance. This reflection highlights the interplay between continuity and change, centralization and decentralization, and the ongoing search for a balance between democratic accountability and effective administration.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is the largest democracy in the world, and it has a political governance system that is both complicated and diversified. This structure has undergone substantial development since the country gained its independence in 1947. The governance system of India faces unique challenges in balancing national unity with regional autonomy, fostering economic growth while ensuring social justice, and maintaining democratic principles in the midst of political competition. India's population is over 1.4 billion people, and it is diverse in terms of culture, language, religion, and geography.

The democratic framework that is contained in the Constitution of India, which includes a parliamentary system and a federal structure, serves as the basis for the political governance of India. The national government and state governments are both able to share powers under this system, which has a dynamic interplay between centralized authority and regional interests. This system was developed to accommodate the diversity that exists inside the country. The political path of India, on the other hand, has been defined by a great number of ups and downs, ranging from periods of strong leadership and important reforms to years of instability, corruption, and institutional decay.

India, which is the most populous democracy in the world, is a dynamic nation that is a fusion of many different communities and cultures. It is a nation that possesses a complicated political system that is relevant to the many different people that live there. Another thing that sets India apart is the fact that it is moving in the direction of greater representation, integration, consolidation, and decentralization of power. Nevertheless, while one is examining its politics, one is also apprehensive over the situation. India is a country that is parliamentary in form and has a federal structure of government. It is comprised of 28 states and 8 union territories. This country is governed by a multi-party system, and its parliament is modelled after the British system. On the other hand, it has been observed that the manner in which India is governed is marked by a great deal of fluctuation. The concept of governance has been given a flavour that is both theoretical and practical as a result of the reorganization of states based on language, the provision of reservation for subaltern communities, universal adult franchise, rights, and the insurance of urbanization and democracy. Just this is simply one side of the tale. In today's world, a significant number of individuals, according to their own perceptions, also place blame on the political elite, political parties, and the

system. Should we consider India and Indians to be contemporary and modern in their behaviour? What are the reasons for the absence of the governing implements, and why does a vintage heritage continue to be a concern? What are the reasons behind the importance of responsibility from public functionaries? Are there any investigations of the operations of institutions that are supported by the state? Due to the fact that the state's procedure would be meaningless in the absence of these, the issue is now being addressed. The purpose of this article is to investigate the questions that were presented earlier. Through the combination of India's ancient history and the present day, it serves as a starting point for the study of India's politics and governance. By revisiting the bygone era with democratic and socialistic ideal and rehearsing the historical mileposts, it provides us with some insights and difficulties that we might take use of. This is a putative depiction, despite the fact that it attempts to provide a glimpse of the scene from both within and outside the scene as it was and as it is. Since a long time ago, India has been accused of having a pessimistic outlook on matters pertaining to global governance. When it comes to trade, India has become significantly less obstructionist than it was in the past; when it comes to climate change, it has chosen a role that is significantly more proactive, value-creating, and agenda-setting (Narlikar, 2017).

The article then investigates the historical progression of political government in India, focusing on and analysing significant periods of both development and tragedy. In addition, it will investigate the roles that various institutions, including the executive branch, the legislature, the judiciary, and the bureaucracy, play in determining the outcomes of governance. In addition to this, the paper will discuss modern topics such as the control of digital platforms, the increasing political division, and the growing power of regional parties. By gaining a knowledge of these events, we are able to obtain insights into both the things that India has accomplished and the obstacles that it continues to face in its pursuit of effective and inclusive governance.

2. THE POLITICAL GOVERNANCE OF INDIA PLACED WITHIN ITS HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The political governance of India has developed over the course of several centuries. Pre-colonial, colonial, and post-colonial roots can all be traced back to it. The colonial rulers made an effort to establish a distinct power of governance that was distinct from the previous power-based structures. The colonial rule, on the other hand, resulted in the establishment of a new government structure that was designed and owned by the

colonial authorities. The structure of government that had been in place prior to the country's independence in 1947 was maintained, albeit with numerous modifications to accommodate the environment and requirements of a free nation. It is possible that the current rulers and those who rule in this country have changed over time; nonetheless, the continuity of governance has been maintained. In spite of the fact that we gained our independence from the British in 1947, our preference for democracy had already been established since 1930. This preference was made visible in the shape of an elaborated Constitution of India, which was drafted in the second half of the 1940s and consisted of 395 articles divided into 22 parts.

Beginning in ancient times and continuing up to the present day, several schools of legal jurisprudence and philosophy have been responsible for the development of various political thought disciplines. There have been a multitude of movements in India that have either unintentionally or intentionally altered governance. The intrusion of Europeans resulted in the gradual conquest of this country, which ultimately led to the development of a British Empire in India through the process of colonization. Beginning in 1615, when the monarchs established the initial framework of governance, and continuing until 1947, when they left behind their legacy in the form of a democratic system of government. The leaders of key social and political groups contributed to government in India before independence, but after independence, the leaders made the governance model according to their ideas. This is in contrast to the situation in India which existed before independence. In the same way, the presence of historical leaders and the absence of historical leaders both have an impact on government in the modern day.

Since the beginning of human civilization, which began in the Indus valley and progressively moved throughout the northern and western regions of India, India has had a public administration system in place. This system has been in existence since India's ancient past. When excavations of the civilization that existed in the Indus valley led to the discovery of a planned urban economy, the fundamentals of public administration were brought into view. The Aryans and many successive kings were responsible for the construction and consolidation of the system of public administration, which ultimately led to the development of empires in India during the ancient and medieval periods. The huge planning activities, in conjunction with the developmental orientation of public administration, resulted in a shift in emphasis toward the welfare of the citizens.

Local governments, state governments, and the federal government are the three levels of administration that make up the American government. According to Mishra (2013), the administration became more clandestine, there was an abundance of bureaucratic red tape, and the citizens, who were the most important stakeholders, were no longer the primary focus of administration.

3. IDENTIFYING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE POLITICAL SYSTEM IN INDIA

Several fundamental characteristics serve as the foundation for the political system of India. Federal structures, parliamentary democracies, legislative frameworks, judicial frameworks, and multi-party systems are the terms that describe them. Every one of these discusses the structure that directs the nation as well as the operation of the government, which is led by the Prime Minister at the central government level and the Chief Ministers at the state level. The Prime Minister is the head of the government on account of the fact that he or she is the sole repository of executive powers at the headquarters. In accordance with the Constitution of India, the President, who is also the head of state, is the official executor of powers and collaborates with the Union Council of Ministers to carry out their duties. When a coalition is unable to use independent members of parliament or small groups of MPs to their benefit, it is the strength of the Prime Minister that provides the needed stability that they wish to achieve. Not only is the Prime Minister the principal articulator of national policy, but it is also the strength of the Prime Minister that gives every coalition they wish to achieve. The President and the two Houses of Parliament make up the legislative body of the government. New Delhi is the location of the Parliament of India, which is responsible for carrying out legislative duties at the central level. Supreme Court of India, which is the highest legal tribunal in India, is the entity that represents the judicial system in India. It is the President of India who is in charge of the country and has the authority to make executive decisions. It is expected that the political party that is nominating the Prime Minister will be required to demonstrate that they have a majority in the Lok Sabha. The government will be established based on the results of this voting procedure. The passing of legislation is an essential part of the legislative function, and this will have an impact on the laws that are enacted in the country. However, the President has the authority to dissolve the Lok Sabha on the suggestion of the Prime Minister. The Constitution states that the Council of States cannot be dissolved and that it functions in

accordance with its provisions. In addition to the responsibility of ensuring that justice is served in the society, the judiciary and the judicial community hold a prominent role within the Indian government. This is because they are entrusted with the important responsibility of examining the laws and acts that are carried out by the executives on behalf of the government. The Indian legal system is comprised of a number of different courts, with the Supreme Court serving as the highest jurisdiction over all of them. The Constitution of India established a number of distinct sorts of courts, each of which is specifically tasked with the obligation of performing regular judicial duties. Every administrative and judicial body ought to base its decisions on the law in order to adhere to its principles. India has chosen to have a parliamentary form of government since there is no other political belief or practice that can adequately represent the people. This decision was made because there is no political belief or practice that is available.

3.1. Structure of the Federal Government

The government of India is based on the federal structure, which lies at the very heart of the country's system. One of the reasons for this is that India's enormous population, which is comprised of millions of people living in thirty-one states and six union territories, is a manifestation of the country's linguistic, cultural, and regional variety. In the course of the arguments that took place in the Constituent Assembly, one of the most major challenges that was faced was figuring out how to administer such a diverse population. Expanding the scope of the role that states play and, as a result, decentralizing authority is thought to provide the benefit of enhancing the degree to which individuals are able to make their own decisions. Governance that is democratic is not necessarily restricted to the organization and operation of political institutions; rather, it also creates the entitlements and freedoms of functioning of the individual. Therefore, in order to guarantee that India is governed according to the principles of federalism, the Constitution of India has assigned a significant number of functional duties to both the central government and the states on their own. A federal form of government, but with a greater emphasis on a unitary system of government, is what India features. In light of the fact that it possesses characteristics of both a federal and a unitary system, it is also referred to as a quasi-federal system. Article 1 of the Constitution of India declares that "India, that is Bharat, shall be a union of states." This particular article is participatory.

Constituents of the Indian Union That Are Federal. Center and state governments are the two tiers of government. There are three lists that are provided in the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution, which gives the subjects that each level of government has authority over. These lists are the division of powers between the central government and the states.

List of Unions

List of States

List to be Concurrent

The supremacy of the constitution is based on the fact that the fundamental framework of the constitution, as outlined by the judicial system, cannot be destroyed. All other laws in India are subordinate to the Constitution. An independent and integrated judicial system is guaranteed by the constitution, which also includes provisions for such a system. The lower and district courts are located at the lowest levels, the high courts are located at the state levels, and the Supreme Court of India is located at the highest level. According to Byju's (2024), the Supreme Court is the highest judge in the judicial system.

There are more than just political connections between different levels of government that are included in intergovernmental relations (IGRS). They play an essential role in the functioning of the federal government. The mechanism of states is inherently weak due to the fact that multiple governments might exist within a federation with different states. Therefore, the machinery of these states is necessary for the implementation of a central law on these states. In the event that they so choose, the states have the ability to either balkanize or dissolve a unitary state; however, they are unable to break up a federal country. Having a high degree of autonomy results in improved policy execution. One of the most significant aspects of governance is the requirement to strike a balance between the autonomy of the state and the expectation that it will be held accountable. It is essential to keep in mind that the purpose of this structure is not limited to only ensuring that government is driven by people. In point of fact, it also serves the purpose of achieving the aim of satisfying the necessities, values, and aspirations of the people who live in each state. A political justification can be found for this governance. Therefore, the governance that is brought about by the federal system is one that is democratic and of high quality. It is possible that the federal system would

likewise be in a state of crisis if these two were damaged. In a nutshell, the relationship between governance and federalism is characterized by the two needs that are both a good and a democratic one. Decentralization is the most effective form of governance, which is why power is distributed across multiple levels.

IGRs are heading toward a pattern of negotiating and competitive federalism that is more balanced, but they are still mostly cooperative federalism. This is in line with the spirit of the constitution and Indian nationalism. IGRs in India are often carried out on a multilateral rather than a bilateral basis by the government. A further characteristic of IGRs in India is that they are typically articulated in a hierarchical or vertical fashion, rather than being carried out horizontally on an equal basis. In 1989, elections for the Lok Sabha marked the beginning of a new phase of IGR. When there are problems between governments that need to be resolved, they are referred to the relevant IGR forum of executive federalism. In comparative federal theory and practice, there are two models that are considered to be the most prevalent for performing IGR. According to Saxena (2013), these two types of federalism include legislative federalism, which is expressed through the federal second chamber, and executive federalism, which is expressed through intergovernmental conferences of the executive heads of the two orders of governments.

Despite the fact that the functional divisions are essential for the purposes of governance, they also put into emphasis the intergovernmental ties that exist inside the country. What is known as the classification of federal forms can be done in a variety of different ways. The division of authority within the federal form in a bicameral structure is a category that is particularly relevant and interesting in this context. This division of authority occurs when expenditures from the Consolidated Fund of India are incurred. However, in the event that the President does not accept the certificate of the money bill, he has the ability to send it back to Parliament for further review. In the past, there was a bicameral setup there; however, this has since been eliminated. As of right now, there is no council of state, and members of the household are not present. In a federal establishment, it is of the utmost importance to maintain a clear demarcation between the central government and the individual states. In the Indian federal system, we have a union list on which the Central Parliament has the authority to pass a law; we also have a concurrent list on which both the states and the center have the authority to pass a law; however, in the event that there is any conflict between the state and the

center, the law that was constructed by the center will take precedence and be able to be sustained. In addition to it, there is a state list, which allows the states to enact laws. There is a strong connection between these functional divisions and the power of the federal government and the state governments to spend money and bring in money. In a federal system, this necessitates the development of a fiscal model that can be used to distribute resources among the states and offer grants to them. The federal fiscal model is the name given to this method. 'Cooperative federalism' is a crucial bargaining point between the states and the center in the evolving politics of the federal government today.

3.2. Government by Parliamentary Means

The democratic system in India is parliamentary. To put it another way, the country is governed by a dual executive structure. When it comes to the President and the Prime Minister at the Centre, or the Central Government and the State Government, the roles of Governor and Chief Minister at the state level provide a function that is comparable to that of at the Central Government. A dual executive ensures greater control and balance between the legislature, often known as the body that frames laws, and the body that is responsible for putting those laws into effect. When it comes to certain significant activities that have been taken since the country's independence, it has been observed that there has always been a slight misunderstanding between the President and the Prime Minister. Whether they are the President or the Governor, their primary responsibility is to carry out the requirements of the Constitution. They are not permitted to make any appointments or conduct any actions that are not stated in the Constitution.

A modern democratic government is not complete without the Parliament, which is the most important organization. Considering that the Indian Parliament is the highest legislative body in the country, it has the authority to adopt any law concerning any provision of the Indian Constitution, with the exception of the provisions that are listed in the 7th Schedule of the Constitution or topics that fall under the competence of the states. It is composed of two chambers. The Lok Sabha, also known as the Lower House, and the Rajya Sabha, sometimes known as the Upper House, are the two houses that make up the European Parliament or Parliament. Both are referred to as "directly elected," with the former being "indirectly elected." A person is said to be directly elected if they are chosen by the general populace, while indirectly elected if they are chosen

by the members of the electoral body. be a result, the Lok Sabha is referred to be a body that is directly elected, but the Rajya Sabha is generally considered to be an indirectly elected assembly. The members of Parliament are responsible for ensuring that the Constitution is upheld and for enacting laws that are beneficial to the citizens in terms of their welfare, peace, and prosperity. The primary responsibilities of the members can be broken down into three categories: i) the enactment of laws, ii) the supervision and control of the organization, and iii) the financial function of the organization. Laws can be enacted through a variety of different processes. Public Bills, Ordinances, Private Bills, Delegate Legislation, Ombudsman, and other similar instruments are included in this category. Among the many committees that make up Parliament, such as the Public Accounts Committee, the Estimate Committee, the Public Undertakings Committee, the Committee on Government Assurances, the Business Advisory Committee, and so on, there are a number of committees that are tasked with providing their opinions or suggestions on a variety of issues. Due to the fact that they solely deal with the reports or any issues that are brought up by the House of Parliament, these committees are extremely essential.

The fact that only citizens are permitted to take part in the process of ruling or governance is one of the privileges that come with living in a democratic nation. Direct democracy, on the other hand, is not a viable form of government in a country of this size. Therefore, elections are a significant process that should be considered. As a result of the sheer number of citizens in a democratic nation like India, it is impossible for them to participate in the decision-making process or the ruling process on a daily basis. They elect certain individuals for a specific period of time, during which this individual or the member in the Legislature has all the power required to rule, with the condition that he or she must have held an election to give equal opportunity to the members of society, including his or her own political party, and finally, let the citizens have the right to vote, stand as candidates, and contest the election. This provides a solution to the problem that they are trying to solve. This individual is a representative in the legislature, and the position that they hold is known as the Member of Parliament at the Centre. The tasks or job that they perform are the same. It is possible to refer to this approach as "representative government." It has been observed that this concept does not fully prevail due to the fact that the people of India belong to a variety of religions, speak or practice different languages or dialects, wear different dresses, celebrate different festivals, and have different cultures and traditions that are not compatible

with one another and cannot be organized into two or three powerful opposition parties or groups for different reasons. It is worth noting that research on Indian politics conducted during the time that the British were in control of the country came to the conclusion that India was more politically advanced than any other former colony.

Despite the fact that democratic institutions had already been in place in many parts of ancient Vedic India, the people who drafted the Constitution chose to adopt the British model of parliamentary democracy. The notions of "Sabha" and "Samiti," which are the ancient institutions of representative democracy in India, are investigated within the framework of this historical setting. Parliament, which is located at the central level, and State Legislatures, which are located in each state, are the means by which the people of India exercise their sovereignty in accordance with the Constitution. It is the President, who is the highest dignitary in the realm, the emblem of the statehood, and the embodiment of the unity of the country, who is bestowed with the capacity to exercise executive authority. According to Sood (2017), he symbolizes the will of the nation as a whole and carries out his duties by acting in accordance with the recommendations and assistance of the Council of Ministers.

3.3. System with Multiple Parties

There are thousands of party nominees at all levels in India, and each election results in thousands of candidates. In India, this leads to the establishment of a system that is comprised of multiple parties. From the very first general elections, which took place in 1952, there were indications of the existence of a multi-party system. If the multi-party system was not given prominence in the beginning, then it was just the outcome of the historical health of society, which was comparable to the entire expanse of landmass that India possessed. Individuals who agreed to the dominant social philosophy, the policy, and even the world vision were generally the ones who held positions of political leadership in the early days of politics. Prior to the war for freedom, the political parties did not exist with the intention of constructing power; rather, they were attempting to extract certain concessions from the foreigners for their personal profit. These parties are no longer in existence now. Following the attainment of independence, the first republic was founded by modifying the constitution and drafting a capitalistic canon as the constitution. This was done with the permission of the thinking of the entire copying class and middle propertied castes, who supported such opinions.

There was the possibility of a single-party government for twenty years, but the elections that took place in 1966 marked the beginning of the multi-party system shortly after that. From a historical perspective, the accepted Indian compositions are such that they are full of dividing groups, locales, areas, states, mental make-ups, civilizations, customs, and more than that, a minor number of religions, whether they are socio-political, economic, or religious. An old politics that has survived has been divided, and politicians have brought a wide variety of ideologies and ideas with them. In every respect, the Indian social joint ventures that have been going on since 1990 have been divided. Working with a multi-party system has a number of drawbacks, one of which is that the ideologies of the followers create uncertainty in the process of electing procedures that stabilize the ruling system. Locking in a single-party system fundamentally means that only one ideology will be able to shape the future; there is no question that this will continue to be the case for a considerable amount of time. As soon as we pull the bolt on that door, we will be able to disentangle the tree and three wheels, which will allow us to speed along with the bullet train and make significant progress on the route toward nationalization. In the event that there are sufficient leaders, there is the possibility of different viewpoints that find acceptance. It is possible that the fully opposing viewpoint that is not taken into consideration could be in a supporting position; it is also possible that the Congress, state parties, regional parties, and unregistered parties are all competing for a consistent perspective.

In spite of the fact that the majority of post-colonial governments have had a different experience, the fact that Indian democracy has managed to survive remains a mystery for those who are interested in the comparative study of democratization. There are two traditional assumptions of democratic theory that are called into question by the Indian case. To begin, it is that the achievement of democratic success necessitates a moderate to high level of socio-economic growth. Second, that in contrast to the individualistic nature of western liberal democracies, Asian civilizations can only be defined in terms of collective communities, whether they be family, tribe, caste, or ethnically derived. This is in contrast to the fact that western liberal democracies are composed of individuals. Recognizing the inadequacy of ad hoc cultural explanations for India's relatively successful process of democratization and the resilience of her democracy, this study provides an explanation that is based on an examination of the flexibility of the party system to adapt to new challenges. This is accomplished by transitioning from the initial one-dominant party system to the multi-party democracy that exists today (Mitra & Enskat, 2007).

4. SOME OF THE OBSTACLES

The general public's trust in political governance has been seriously damaged as a result of the increased instances of corruption and political irregularities that have occurred in India. This has also significantly tarnished the reputation of their political system. Corruption and scandals involving financial institutions have been at the forefront of significant public debates. The majority of problems and catastrophes occur as a result of flaws in the governance of the government. In India, there is a fierce discussion over the widespread corruption that is no longer carried out in secret and has evolved into a culture of governance. There are many people who believe that the political system in India is susceptible to having its institutional integrity compromised. Because politicians have grown wealthy overnight with no effort or investment, the laundering of money has become a big concern. This is because politicians have become wealthy overnight. In the realm of political governance, financial entities exert an influence.

Throughout history, there have been instances of communal unrest and religious tensions, both of which have played a significant role in the development of communal divisions and a series of violent incidents. In addition, the emergence of regionalism over the course of sometime has been shown to be a significant obstacle to the national unity and integrity. Issues of identification are frequently brought about by the existence of numerous linguistic communities and subcultures. Expressions that indicate support for Hindutva, secularism, and religious beliefs have been marked by voters. It is inevitable that this will prove to be a significant barrier to the success of the democratic process. At the expense of another religion, the pro-Hindutva strategy will place a growing amount of emphasis on one particular faith. As a result, it will result in a rift between the majority of Hindus and the populations that are considered to be minority groups. National political life is being disrupted by the developing trend of 'vote bank.' This growing communal consciousness of sectarian violence will ultimately lead to upheaval on both the national and social levels.

4.1. Embezzlement and other scandals

One of the most significant issues that has been identified as a significant barrier to India's progress and effective government is the prevalence of corruption in the country. This phenomenon is profoundly ingrained in each and every level of government. The expectation of more and more favors from people in power or authority, such as

releasing someone from an investigation or legal procedure, overestimating the quality of services or goods, and other similar favors, is the primary reason for the proliferation of corruption, which is spreading like wildfire. On the other hand, embezzlement and bribery are two sides of the same phrase. Another factor that contributes to corruption is the practice of nepotism, as well as the urge to give and take. When it comes down to it, the general population is completely oblivious to all of the prevalent and subsidized corruption. It is well known that India has been dealing with significant scandals involving corruption throughout its history. The abyss of corruption in India's public life was recently brought to light by a huge defense scandal, which also brought the Prime Minister of India, who was in office at the time, under intense scrutiny. On the other hand, there are numerous instances of corruption scandals involving politicians and bureaucrats, such as any number of different frauds. The network of involvement is extensive, and the repercussions of scandals of this nature are abhorrent.

An extensive anti-corruption legislative framework exists in India. This framework is comprised of a variety of acts, rules, norms, laws, committees, commissions, groups, and bodies. These individuals and organizations have been established in an effort to curb corruption. There are several pieces of legislation in India, including the Prevention of Corruption Act, the Indian Penal Code, the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, the Central Bureau of Investigation, the Right to Information Act, and the Whistleblower Protection Act. These pieces of legislation recognize the importance of international legal relations and commitments, and they also include the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act. The judicial philosophy has recently acknowledged the 'Right to Corruption-Free Services,' which is a new facet that has opened up for the purpose of addressing corruption. Even when the legal structure is in place, it is not possible to assume that the atmosphere is free of corruption. It would appear that a significant presence of legislation does not have a significant impact on individuals. Both the Central Vigilance Commission and the Comptroller and Auditor General have been making a concerted effort to bring attention to instances of corruption and the measures that have been taken to address it. On the other hand, the reports and suggestions of commissions have not been proven to be highly useful in eliminating corruption.

Without taking into account the subjective and emotional relationships that citizens have to the state, it is impossible to comprehend the anti-corruption movements that are currently ongoing. On the one hand, there is a cynicism about the state and popular

indignation against corruption; on the other hand, there is an allegiance to popular sovereignty and patronage. It is necessary for us to take into account these contradictory views about the state. We would be better able to grasp the conjunctures that lead to effective institutional transformation if we take into account these opposing attitudes (Gupta, 2018).

4.2. The Rising Tensions Between Communalism and Religion

Due to the fact that Hindu identity is distinguished by its diverse and accommodating characteristics, religious identification does not typically become sour among Hindus. On the other hand, in the context of identity in India, which is predominantly Hindu in nature, there have been instances in which certain groups of people have been subjected to discrimination and persecution. A common Muslim and Hindu identity prevail among Indians whenever distinctions among diverse groups of people are brought to light due to political reasons and communal conflict is created. Additionally, common policies of the government bring to a reduction in the intensity of the discriminatory experience for the majority of Indians. Since India's independence, Muslim communalism has also been mostly non-threatening due to the fact that it has supported the Indian state as a society that is comprised of multiple ethnic groups. In the years following the establishment of British rule in India, communalism has gone through a number of distinct stages. Sensations of communal animosity have been stoked in India, particularly on the brink of communal riots, and in particular before to the partition of the country into India and Pakistan. They relived the hatreds of partition, which culminated in the massacre of Sikhs in Delhi and other cities of India following the assassination of Prime Minister Mrs. Indira Gandhi by her own Sikh bodyguards in 1984. In the eighties, communal conflict was carried to new heights of ferocity by both Hindus and Sikhs. This conflict was carried out by both groups. A militant Sikh emigrant or Khalistan movement had been responsible for thousands of murders in attacks on Hindu communities prior to that terrible episode. There have been anti-Muslim pogroms in Meerut, Bhagalpur, and Mumbai, which have occurred concurrently with these attempts to sabotage relations between Hindus and Sikhs. Hindu communalism and Muslim communalism have, for a period of time, been mutually supportive of one another. Each of them responded to the horrors that occurred in one region by taking the same actions on victims in another region. As a consequence of this, Muslim violence has been relegated to the background, and certain members of the community are considering exacting revenge.

On the other hand, it appears that the wave of fanaticism among Hindus is dying down. "The rise and growth of the Bhartiya Janata Party (BJP) with its sister organizations in Indian politics, the existence of the communal attitude of the Muslim political elite, the acceptance of liberalized economic policy by India, and the rise in mutual suspicion and hostile attitude among both Muslims and Hindus contribute to the possibility that India will experience a violent civil war between Hindus and Muslims in the future," Pandey (2007) says. On account of the competing political and economic interests, as well as the confrontational attitude displayed by both sides, it is possible that they will once again be two distinct states. There is a possibility that the Two Nations Theory will reappear in the future.

4.3. Regionalism and the Distinction of Linguistics

Over the course of time, India's vast diversity has resulted in the emergence of regional demands. Within the realm of politics, regionalism is an important phenomenon in India. There is a strong connection between the historical development of various cultural zones in the country and the creation of regional political parties. A significant number of religious, caste, and tribal groupings are contributing factors to the growing trend of regionalism. These main federal or regional parties have been able to endure because they have consistently adapted their political ideology and agendas to meet the shifting demands of their respective regions. The term "region" encompasses a wide range of aspects, including historical, socio-psychological, cultural, economic, and administrative aspects, and should be defined in a comprehensive manner. The major national parties have been forced to seek coalition administrations under a dominating system as a result of the continuous emergence of regional parties and the increased impact that these parties have become at the national level. Regarding this ever-evolving circumstance, there are a great many different points of view. The leaders of a number of different regions have been condemned for being excessively protectionist and anti-nation. The vast majority of academics advocate for a strategy that strikes a balance between the unity and diversity that India possesses. Researchers from the past have proposed the development of a road map that takes into account and takes into account the various identities, sources of conflict, and regional aspirations that exist in India. The inclusiveness of governance needs to take into account the ambitions of sub-nationalities. In the event that requirements are satisfied, it will be feasible to forestall extremism and the exploitation of it as a political game.

Although there have been numerous periods of territorial rearrangement since 1950, the majority of India's regions still feature a great number of sub-regions that are distinguished by a variety of social and cultural identification symbols. There are many different ways in which regionalism, sometimes known as an intense sense of allegiance to a particular place, showed itself in India. Being fueled by the feeling of lasting lack as a result of long-term negligence in development and resource redistribution, it has frequently expressed itself in words that are opposed to those of the nation. The concept of regionalism has frequently been presented in ways that are in opposition to the principles of national unity and integrity, as well as those that challenge the legitimacy of the state. Some academicians have expressed similar views by describing regionalism as "anti-system, anti-federal," and so on. This is in contrast to the rulers, who have most frequently viewed regionalism as "a very serious threat to the development, progress, and unity of the country." Scholars who have a more optimistic outlook, on the other hand, have recognized the importance of regionalism in the framework of nation-building or national cohesiveness, provided that the political system is flexible enough to accommodate the timely fulfilment of the demands of the regions. When it comes to explaining the definition of regionalism in India, as well as its forms and content, there is probably not much more that can be said about the literature that currently exists on the subject of regionalism, including its meaning, forms, causes, and repercussions in India, among other things. Internal self-determination of community, whether it be linguistic, tribal, religious, regional, or any combination of these, has remained the predominant form in which regionalism in India has sought to express itself, over the course of history as well as in the present day. This is the fundamental point that I would like to emphasize in this regard. A significant number of times, the concept of self-determination has been framed in terms of statehood or state autonomy (Bhatacharya, 2005).

5. INNOVATIONS AND REFORMS IN THE POLITICAL GOVERNANCE OF INDIA

The future of political governance in India has been improved via the implementation and testing of a great number of timely initiatives and other activities. The constant dissatisfaction that the traditional governance system has failed to address the basic needs of the poor and the widening ethnic and socio-economic disparities in the days of liberalization and globalization are two of the most visible malaises that are currently

prevailing in India. The focus should be on finding a permanent solution to address both of these issues. Corruption, inefficiency, and poor administration are the defining characteristics of this situation. India has been dealt with these problems. Recent institutional developments that may have future ramifications are briefly highlighted. These innovations include constitutional changes, electoral reforms, local government, changing the route for political party fundraising, technology or e-governance, judicial activism, and misuse of mass media enforcement.

Innovations, reforms, and reinvention drives are all strategies that overlap and come into play during times of organizational crisis. These strategies are implemented when the ability of the public sector is insufficient to deal with a catastrophe. As a natural consequence of survival design or a tenacious urge to outdo an opponent in a competition, they come about as a result of these characteristics. On the other hand, some may even be the result of mistakes made in the past. While simultaneously becoming an agent for the three 'Rs' of recognition, replication, and research, innovations reduce both the amount of time and the amount of money required. Since the advent of globalization, a great deal of research has been conducted, including studies on administrative innovations at the Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances' (DARPG) best administrative practices research on innovation. This research has been carried out after globalization brought about a combination of computers and information technology, on the one hand, and re-conceptualization of inter-agency collaboration and network research, on the other hand. In order to attain increased efficiency, innovations do not circumvent the traditional principles of the public sector, which include equity, fairness, and probity. This is because these values become components of sustainability and continuity in the innovations. The role that the government plays in public sector innovations is to facilitate freedom to innovate, to generate an environment of scientific curiosity, democratic freedom, and decentralized governance. Furthermore, the government has a responsibility to facilitate innovation. A spirit of entrepreneurship is currently being seen as a gateway to innovations, and the proliferation of startups is being viewed as the release of inventions that have the potential to revolutionize the way in which public administration is carried out in India. The innovation theory, on the other hand, was put to rest by unsuccessful enterprises. To ensure their continued success and viability, innovations require a number of fundamental components (Singh, 2022).

One of the most notable developments that has occurred in recent times and has had a significant impact on political institutions is the implementation of mobile registration of birth, which is currently commonplace in the majority of states from India. In the process of finding individuals who are not included in low-income households, the exercise has proven to be quite helpful. It makes it easier to implement precision targeting in the process of identifying individuals who would be eligible to receive benefits from the National Employment Programme, which is the most pro-poor strategy of empowerment via good governance. A kind of the Aadhar number has been utilized in the state of Andhra Pradesh; nonetheless, this would be the very first time that such an efficient solution has been implemented to address the age-old problem of those who are excluded from below. In an effort to find solutions through alternative approaches, a number of governments are currently conducting experiments with some of the most promising advances in governance systems. It is possible that it would be fascinating to trace the intricacies of these systems through the case studies of those nations. This would allow for at least some more immediate perspective to be taken into consideration while investigating the potential reforms that are based on good governance. In the event that these efforts are pursued with inventiveness, our experience has shown that the social and political leaders at the grassroots level, and not the representatives in the elected bodies, are the ones who are guaranteed that these reforms will be implemented. Additionally, it offers a concrete illustration of a number of efforts that have received assistance from various organizations. This article describes unique programs that are supported by various entities. Impetus in the political systems has also been offered by civil society and advocacy groups that are looking for solutions through alternative forms of governance. Given that these initiatives at the level of political governance are focused on the future, it is appropriate to conduct an analysis that is specifically geared toward the future. On the other hand, there have been opportunities for reforms. In order to emphasize transparency, publicity is being highlighted. Regarding the change that was implemented with regard to the financing of political parties, we have been continuing to conceal a significant reform. The reform of white money is the day, and the reform of black money is the night at this point. On the other hand, there are instances in which political systems have rarely permitted any further improvements. In the next part, an attempt has been made to investigate what these changes are and the reasons why we are unable to encourage the adoption of them.

6. CONCLUSION

The political governance of India is a dynamic and ever-evolving process that is impacted by the country's immense variety, historical legacies, and the shifting socio-economic landscape. The Indian government has shown extraordinary endurance over the course of several decades in its efforts to retain democratic governance in the face of a variety of problems. Even if the country is struggling with issues of corruption, inefficiencies in the bureaucracy, and regional imbalances, the federal structure of the country, periodic electoral mandates, and a dynamic civil society have all played a significant role in ensuring political stability.

There is a delicate balance between democratic principles and practical governance in India, and the ups and downs of government in India reflect this delicate equilibrium. India's political system has been successful because of its capacity to adjust to changing circumstances, whether it be through the implementation of economic reforms, the intervention of the judiciary, or the decentralization of authority. On the other hand, there are still obstacles to overcome, notably in areas such as the accountability of institutions, the polarization of politics, and the requirement for increased inclusivity in decision-making processes.

As we look to the future, the governance of India will be contingent on the degree to which it is able to effectively handle these ongoing problems while also embracing new potential, such as digital governance and grassroots engagement. In spite of the fact that India is still in the process of developing, the quality of its political governance will continue to be of the utmost importance in order to guarantee sustainable growth and protect the democratic ideals that are the cornerstone of the nation.

REFERENCES

Bhattacharyya, H. (2005, May 12). Federalism and regionalism in India: Institutional strategies and political accommodation of identity (Working paper No. 27). Heidelberg Papers. Retrieved from http://www.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/archiv/5500

Byju's. (2024, November 15). Federalism in India – Federal features of the Indian Constitution. *Byju's*. Retrieved from https://byjus.com

Gupta, A. (2017). Changing forms of corruption in India. *Modern Asian Studies, 51*(6), 1862–1890. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X17000580

Mishra, R. K. (2013). History and context of public administration in India. In *Public administration in South Asia* (pp. xx-xx). Routledge.

Mitra, S. K., & Enskat, M. (1999). Parties and the people: India's changing party system and the resilience of democracy. *Democratization, 6*(1), 123–154. https://doi.org/10.1080/135 10349908403600

Narlikar, A. (2017). India's role in global governance: A modification? *International Affairs, 93*(1), 93 111. https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiw005

Pandey, A. (2007). Communalism and separatism in India: An analysis. *Journal of Asian and African Studies, 42*(6), 533–549. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021909607083219

Saxena, R. (2013). Intergovernmental relations in India. In *Public administration in South Asia*. Routledge.

Singh, A. (2022). Revisiting innovations in governance. *Indian Journal of Public Administration, 68*(3), 341–354. https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561221092879

Sood, G. (2017, May 15). Parliamentary democracy in India: Legal issues and challenges. *FACTA UNIVERSITATIS - Law and Politics, 15*(1), 95–109.